



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
11/16/2001	Richard James Gilbert	CQ10069	6119
06/29/2004		EXAMINER MARSCHEL, ARDIN H	
Mountain View, CA 94041-2007		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1631	
	11/16/2001 06/29/2004 DN, PLLC Ste 220	11/16/2001 Richard James Gilbert 06/29/2004 DN, PLLC Ste 220	11/16/2001 Richard James Gilbert CQ10069 06/29/2004 EXAMI ON, PLLC Ste 220 CA 94041-2007 ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	09/992,440	GILBERT ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
7	Ardin Marschel	1631		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day Ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	,			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-31 are subject to restriction and/or elected.				
Application Papers				
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception acception acception acception acception acception to the drawing sheet(s) including the correction acception. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1. 	awing(s) be held in abeyance. See n is required if the drawing(s) is obie	37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign prepared a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents hete. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents hete. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (Figure 2). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies. 	ave been received. ave been received in Application documents have been received PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n No d in this National Stage		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Pate 6) Other:)		
Patent and Trademark Office				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Offic PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Art Unit: 1631

DETAILED ACTION

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- 1. Claims 1-17, drawn to a method of generating a database of molecular fragment data, classified in class 707, subclass 101. If this Group is elected then the below summarized specie election is also required.
- II. Claims 18-20 and 23-27, drawn to a method of determining a relationship between the presence of a number of molecular fragments in a number of molecular structures and a biological target characteristic of the molecular structure, classified in class 702, subclass 27. If this Group is elected then the below summarized specie election is also required.
- III. Claims 21, 22, and 28-31; drawn to a computer implemented method of generating predicted biological target characteristic data for a target molecule, classified in class 702, subclass 19.

SPECIE ELECTION REQUIREMENT FOR GROUPS I OR II:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Specie A: method embodiments which do not utilize graph theory to compare molecular fragment data

Specie B: method embodiments which do utilize graph theory to compare molecular fragment data

Graph theory is a specialized subject matter area which substitutes graphical representations and techniques for computational algorithms and is generally separately

Art Unit: 1631

published from computational algorithm methods and thus documents the separate and undue search burden if they are searched together.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-9 and 12-16 (Group I) and claims 18-20, 23, 24, 26, and 27 (Group II) are generic to Specie A and B in their respective Groups.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1631

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of reasons set forth below and regarding specie distinctness as summarized in the above specie election explanation:

The inventions of Groups I, II, and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions the different modes of operation, functions, and effects have been summarized above within each of the Group Descriptions.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the Central PTO Fax Center. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61

Art Unit: 1631

(November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993)(See 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The Central PTO Fax Center number is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ardin Marschel, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571)272-0718. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, Ph.D., can be reached on (571)272-0722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Legal Instrument Examiner, Tina Plunkett, whose telephone number is (571)272-0549.

June 25, 2004

Arden H. Whred C/20/64